NEW RECORD OF *USNEA FLORIDA* (L.) WEBER EX F.H. WIGG. (1780) IN LATVIA WITH NOTES ON SPECIES DISTRIBUTION IN LATVIA

Rolands Moisejevs, Valentīna Puzule, Alfons Piterāns, Anna Mežaka

Moisejevs R., Puzule V., Piterāns A., Mežaka A. 2017. New record of *Usnea florida* (L.) Weber ex F.H. Wigg. (1780) in Latvia with notes on species distribution in Latvia. *Acta Biol. Univ. Daugavp.*, 17 (2): 217 – 220.

The paper reports current knowledge about *Usnea florida* in Latvia. New species record with all known records of *Usnea florida* in Latvia are reported. Also, data on secondary substances detected by thin layer chromotography in all available Latvian herbarium material is provided. Key words: Latvia; lichenized fungi; epiphytic.

Key words: Latvia, lichenized fungi, epiphytic.

Rolands Moisejevs, Anna Mežaka. Institute of Life Sciences and Technology, Daugavpils University, Parādes 1a, Daugav-pils, Latvia, E-mail: rolands.moisejevs@biology.lv, anna. mezaka@biology.lv

Valentīna Puzule. Balvi Secondary School, Kuprava, Latvia.

Alfons Piterāns3,112 3Department of Botany and Ecology, Facultyof Biology, University of Lavia, Kronvalda Bulv. 4, Riga,E-mail: alfons.piterans@lu.lv

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Usnea* (Parmeliaceae, Ascomycota) is characterized by a fruticose thallus with cortex (with presence of usnic acid in the cortex), medulla and a cartilaginous central axis. Genus *Usnea* consists of a.c. 350 species with wide distribution throughout the world from tropical regions to alpine and polar areas (Divakar et al., 2015, Mark et al. 2016).

Usnea florida has widespread distribution in Europe, but only few records registered in the Baltic States (Randlane et al. 2009, Motiejūnaitė 1999). In all cases species was found in old-growth deciduous forest habitats. Recent taxonomical studies supported synonymy of *U. florida* and *U. subfloridana* Stirt. (1882)

(Kelly et al. 2011, Saag et al. 2011, Mark et al. 2016). It was considered that *U. florida* is a fertile morphotype of *U. sublforidana*, species that has widespread distribution and is common almost in all Europe. Official taxonomical changes still were not proposed due to conservation reasons, caused by ecological preferences of *U. florida* (Mark et al. 2016), and insufficient number of reasonable ecological and genetical investigations. The taxonomic status of *U. florida* still remains an object for discussions.

In Latvia, *U. florida* is red-listed and included to local legislative lists of protected species, (Andrušaitis et al. 1996, Anonymous 2000, Anonymous 2013). Earlier species was known from three localities in Latvia. For the first time in Latvia it was found in Jaungulbene by A. Bruttan

in the second part of the 19th century (Bruttan 1869), but the presence of species was never confirmed in a current locality afterwards. K. Kupfer (Kupfer 1931) reported *U. florida* in 1931 in Moricsala Nature Reserve, it was confirmed by local lichenologists and ecologists afterwards (Fig.1). The third location of species was found by A. Piterāns in Gauja National Park (Piterāns & Leimane 1987). Since 2002, *U. florida* was noted as a woodland key habitat indicator species in Latvia (Ek et al. 2002), but was never found outside of three known localities (Fig.2). Species is also known in Lithuania (Motiejūnaitė 1999). So far, in Estonia *U. florida* is not recorded (Tõrra

& Randlane 2007). Current paper reports new record of *U. florida* in Latvia.

The specimens of new *U. florida* record were initially recorded and collected in a border of nemoral and coniferous forest in North-Eastern Latgale in December 10, 2015 by Valentīna Pužule during the field trip of doctoral study course. The collections were determined using the routine lichenological methods (Smith et al. 2009). Thin layer chromotography was applied for detection of secondary substances in collected material. All specimens of *U.florida* are deposited at the Herbarium of Daugavpils University,



2.Fig. All known loccalities of Usnea florida in Latvia.

Institute of Life Sciences and Technology; DAU 667007001. DAU 667007002. DAU 667007003.

Information about the new record

Morphology: Thallus 5-9 cm tall, erect, main branches 0,6-0,9 mm diam., curved. Soralia absent, papillae abundant, mostly on main branches. Apotecia abundant, arising at apices of main and major lateral branches; discs 0,6-1,1 cm diam., with abundant, branched, marginal projections, up to 5 mm long. Ascospores $8.5-10.5 \times 5.6-7$ nm, ellipsoidal.

Chemistry: Usnic, thamnolic and alectorialic acids were detected by TLC.

Ecology: Specimen was collected in old-growth forest site that was evaluated as 9020* (Fennoscandian hemiboreal natural old broadleaved deciduous forests rich in epiphytes) protected habitat, according to Latvian interpretation of Annex I EU Habitat Directive in (Auniņš et al. 2013).

DISCUSSION

In all Latvian *U. florida* herbarium material usnic, thamnolic and alectorialic acids were detected by TLC.

As in a case of earlier registered records, specimens of new record were found in old-growth broad-leaved forest site, on recently fallen branches of *Tilia cordata*. Accompanying red-listed and protected lichen species such as *Arthonia byssacea*, *A. vinosa*, *Cetrelia* s.lat., *Lobaria pulmonaria*, *Thelotrema lepadinum* were found during lichenological investigations.

Previously, it was considered that in Latvia *U. florida* is associated with old-growth broadleaved forests (Andrušaitis et al. 1996). The present record of species further supports this hypothesis. The present forest patch historically was preserved due to the local traditions - in North-Eastern Latgale local people did not cut the particular forest areas. However, the further

studies are necessary for investigating the *U. florida* distribution and dispersal requirements in fragmented landscape of Latvia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All authors of paper are thankfull to Jurga Motiejūnaitė (Vilnius) for help with determination of secondary substances by TLC. All authors are also gratefull to Māris Nitcis for poviding maps of known loccalities.

REFERENCES

Andrušaitis G., Vimba E., Piterāns A. 1996. Latvian Red Data Book. Rare and extinct plant and animal species. Volume 1. Mushrooms and lichens. Rīga: Latvijas Zinātņu akadēmija, Bioloģijas institūts, 202 lpp.

Annonymous. 2000. Ministru kabineta noteikumi Nr.396. Noteikumi par īpaši aizsargājamo sugu un ierobežoti izmantojamo īpaši aizsargājamo sugu sarakstu. (in Latvian).

Annonymous. 2013. Ministru kabineta noteikumi Nr. 940. Noteikumi par mikroliegumu izveidošanas un apsaimniekošanas kārtību, to aizsardzību, kā arī mikroliegumu un to buferzonu noteikšanu. (in Latvian).

Auniņš A., Lārmanis V., Rove I., Rūsiņa S., Laime B. (eds). 2013. European Union Protected Habitats in Latvia. Interpretation Manual. Riga, Latvian Fund for Nature, Ministry of Environmental Protection and Regional Development. Pp. 320.

Bruttan A. 1869. Bericht über eine lichenologische Excursion in Kur- und Livland. Sitzungsbericht der Naturforscher-Gesellschaft bei der Universität Dorpat, Bd. 2: 58-62.

Divakar, P. K., Crespo, A., Wedin, M., Leavitt, S. D., Hawksworth, D. L., Myllys, L.,

- McCune, B., Randlane, T., Bjerke, J. W., Ohmura, Y., Schmitt, I., Boluda, C. G., Alors, D., Roca-Valiente, B., Del-Prado, R., Ruibal, C., Buaruang, K., Núñez-Zapata, J., Amo de Paz, G., Rico, V. J., Molina, M. C., Elix, J. A., Esslinger, T. L., Tronstad, I. K., Lindgren, H., Ertz, D., Gueidan, C., Saag, L., Mark, K., Singh, G., Dal Grande, F., Parnmen, S., Beck, A., Benatti, M. N., Blanchon, D., Candan, M., Clerc, P., Goward, T., Grube, M., Hodkinson, B. P., Hur, J. S., Kantvilas, G., Kirika, P. M., Lendemer, J., Mattsson, J. E., Messuti, M. I., Miadlikowska, J., Nelsen, M., Ohlson, J. I., Pérez-Ortega, S., Saag, A., Sipman, H. J., Sohrabi, M., Thell, A., Thor, G., Truong, C., Yahr, R., Upreti, D. K., Cubas, P. and Lumbsch, T. H. 2015. Evolution of complex symbiotic relationships in a morphologically derived family of lichen-forming fungi. New Phytologist, 208: 1217-1226.
- Ek T., Suško U., Auziņš R. 2002. Mežaudžu atslēgas biotopu inventarizācija. Metodika (Inventarization of Woodland Key Habitats. Methods). Rīga, Valsts meža dienests. Pp 76. (In Latvian)
- Kelly L. J., Hollingsworth P. M., Coppins B. J., Ellis C. J., Harrold P., Tosh J., et al. 2011. DNA barcoding of lichenized fungi demonstrates high identification success in a floristic context. *New Phytologist*, 191(1): 288–300.
- Kupffer K. R. 1931. *Die Natuschonstätte Moritzholm*. Arbeiten Naturf.-Ver. Riga, N. F. Bd. pp 139.
- Mark K., Saag L., Leavitt S. D., Will-Wolf S.,
 Nelsen M. P., Tõrra T., Saag A., Randlane
 T., Lumbsch H. T. 2016. Evaluation of traditionally circumscribed species in the lichen-forming genus *Usnea*, section *Usnea* (Parmeliaceae, Ascomycota) using a six-locus dataset. *Organisms Diversity & Evolution*, 16 (3): 497-524

- Mežaka A., Strazdiņa L., Madžule L., Liepiņa L., Znotiņa V., Brūmelis G., Piterāns A. & Hultengren S. 2009. Bryophyte and lichen flora in relation to habitat characteristics in Moricsala nature reserve, Latvia. *Latvijas Veģetācija*, 18: 65 88.
- Motiejūnaitė J. 1999. Checklist of lichens and allied fungi of Lithuania. *Botanica Lithuanica*, 5(3): 251-269.
- Piterāns A., Leimane D. 1987. Gaujas nacionālā parka lihenoflora. *Mežsaimniecība un Mežrūpniecība*, 2: 37-41.
- Randlane T., Tõrra T., Saag A. & Saag L. 2009. Key to European *Usnea* species. *Bibliotheca Lichenologica*, 100: 419–462.
- Saag L., Tõrra T., Saag A., Del-Prado R., & Randlane T. (2011). Phylogenetic relations of European shrubby taxa of the genus Usnea. *The Lichenologist*, 43 (05): 427–444.
- Smith C.W., Aptroot A., Coppins B.J., Fletcher A., Gilbert O.L., James P.W., Wolseley P.A. 2009. *The lichens of Great Britain and Ireland*. London, Pp. 1046.
- Tõrra, T. & Randlane, T. 2007. The lichen genus *Usnea* (lichenized Ascomycetes, Parmeliaceae) in Estonia with a key to the species in the Baltic countries. *The Lichenologist*, 39: 415–438

Received: 20.06.2017. Accepted: 14.10.2017